Reformulation of Mass-Energy Equivalence: Explaining the Arrow of Time

Ilja Laurs
ilja@laurs.com

April 15, 2025

Abstract

This paper explores the implications of a reformulated mass-energy equivalence relation for the arrow of time paradox. Starting from Einstein's $E = mc^2$, we derive the mathematically equivalent form $Et^2 = md^2$, where c is expressed as the ratio of distance (d) to time (t). This reformulation suggests a fundamental reinterpretation of spacetime as a "2+2" dimensional structure: two rotational spatial dimensions and two temporal dimensions, one of which is typically perceived as the third spatial dimension. Within this framework, time's arrow emerges naturally from the intrinsic asymmetry between these two temporal dimensions, rather than from statistical mechanics or boundary conditions. We develop a formal mathematical treatment showing how microscopic time-symmetry coexists with macroscopic irreversibility through dimensional coupling, providing a novel explanation for entropy increase, quantum measurement asymmetry, and causality. The framework makes several distinctive predictions about how temporal asymmetry scales with system complexity and behaves in extreme conditions. This approach transforms time's arrow from a puzzling emergent phenomenon into a fundamental feature of reality's dimensional structure, offering a more parsimonious explanation than conventional treatments while resolving longstanding paradoxes in both classical and quantum physics.

1 Introduction

The arrow of time represents one of the most profound paradoxes in modern physics. While our fundamental physical laws appear largely time-symmetric at the microscopic level, our macroscopic experience reveals a stark temporal asymmetry—eggs break but never unbreak, coffee cools but never spontaneously reheats, and we remember the past but not the future. This discrepancy between time-symmetric laws and time-asymmetric experience has puzzled physicists and philosophers since the 19th century.

The conventional explanation relies on the second law of thermodynamics: entropy increases in closed systems. This statistical approach, pioneered by Boltzmann, suggests that the arrow of time emerges from probability—systems naturally evolve from less probable (lower entropy) states to more probable (higher entropy) states. While statistically sound, this explanation has fundamental shortcomings:

1. It requires an unexplained low-entropy initial condition for the universe
2. It doesn't explain why entropy should align with our psychological perception of time
3. It doesn't address the apparent time-asymmetry in quantum measurement
4. It doesn't fundamentally explain why causes precede effects

This paper proposes a radical new approach based on a reformulation of Einstein's mass-energy equivalence. By expressing $E = mc^2$ in the mathematically equivalent form $Et^2 = md^2$, we derive a novel interpretation of spacetime as a "2+2" dimensional structure—two rotational spatial dimensions and two temporal dimensions, one of which we typically perceive as the third spatial dimension. Within this framework, time's arrow emerges naturally from the fundamental asymmetry between these two temporal dimensions, providing a more elegant and comprehensive explanation for temporal irreversibility across multiple domains of physics.

2 Reformulation of Mass-Energy Equivalence

2.1 Mathematical Derivation

We begin with Einstein's established equation:

$$E = mc^2 \tag{1}$$

Since the speed of light c can be expressed as distance over time:

$$c = \frac{d}{t} \tag{2}$$

Substituting into the original equation:

$$E = m\left(\frac{d}{t}\right)^2 = m\frac{d^2}{t^2} \tag{3}$$

Rearranging:

$$Et^2 = md^2 \tag{4}$$

This reformulation is mathematically equivalent to the original but frames the relationship differently. Rather than emphasizing c as a fundamental constant, it explicitly relates energy and time to mass and distance, with both time and distance appearing as squared terms.

2.2 The "2+2" Dimensional Interpretation

The appearance of squared terms for both time and distance suggests a reinterpretation of spacetime dimensionality:

- The d^2 term represents two rotational spatial dimensions with angular coordinates (θ, ϕ)
- The t^2 term captures conventional time t and a second temporal dimension τ that we typically perceive as the third spatial dimension

This creates a fundamentally different "2+2" dimensional framework that reinterprets what we conventionally perceive as a 3+1 dimensional spacetime.

3 Dual Temporal Dimensions and Time's Arrow

3.1 Geometric Asymmetry Between Temporal Dimensions

In our framework, the two temporal dimensions aren't simply duplicates but have intrinsically different properties:

1. **Conventional time (t)** operates as a uniform progression that all observers experience similarly (albeit with relativistic effects)
2. **The temporal-spatial dimension (τ)** exhibits a fundamentally different character—it's directional but perceived spatially, creating an inherent asymmetry

This geometric asymmetry can be mathematically represented through a temporal metric tensor with off-diagonal components:

$$g_{\text{temporal}} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5}$$

The small coupling term ε represents the inherent asymmetry between t and τ. This asymmetry isn't arbitrary but emerges necessarily from the dimensional structure implied by $Et^2 = md^2$.

3.2 The Temporal Gradient Field

We can define a unified temporal gradient field that captures the directional properties of the combined temporal dimensions:

$$\nabla_t = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \right) \tag{6}$$

The non-zero divergence of this field:

$$\nabla \cdot \nabla_t = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} \neq 0 \tag{7}$$

creates a natural directionality in how systems evolve through the combined temporal dimensions.

3.3 Temporal Flux and Time's Arrow

We introduce the concept of temporal flux to quantify the directional flow across both temporal dimensions:

$$\Phi_t = \int_S \vec{v}_t \cdot d\vec{A} \tag{8}$$

Where $\vec{v}_t = (1, \beta)$ represents the temporal velocity vector with components in both t and τ, and β is a parameter that reflects the relationship between progression rates in the two temporal dimensions.

The asymmetry in this flux—arising from the different geometric properties of t and τ—creates the manifestation of time's arrow in physical processes.

4 Microscopic Symmetry and Macroscopic Asymmetry

4.1 Microscopic Processes in Dual Time

At the microscopic level, individual particle interactions involve both temporal dimensions in a nearly balanced way:

$$\delta S = \int \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \tau} d\tau \right) \tag{9}$$

This near-balance explains why fundamental equations like Schrödinger's equation appear largely time-symmetric. However, the slight asymmetry between t and τ introduces a subtle bias that becomes amplified in macroscopic systems.

4.2 Emergence of Macroscopic Time Arrow

As systems scale up in complexity, they increasingly couple to the asymmetric relationship between the temporal dimensions. This can be quantified through a dimensional coupling function:

$$F(N) = 1 - e^{-\alpha N \frac{t}{\tau}} \tag{10}$$

Where N represents the number of interacting particles and α is a coupling constant.

For macroscopic systems (large N), this function approaches 1, meaning they become fully coupled to the temporal asymmetry, explaining why large-scale processes exhibit pronounced time directionality.

5 Entropy Reinterpreted

5.1 Entropy as Temporal-Dimensional Coupling

In our framework, entropy takes on a deeper significance than merely counting microstates. It can be reinterpreted as a measure of how strongly a system couples to the asymmetric relationship between the two temporal dimensions:

$$S = k_B \ln \Omega + \gamma k_B \ln \left(\frac{t}{\tau} \right) \tag{11}$$

Where Ω is the traditional count of microstates, and γ is a system-specific coupling constant. The second term explicitly captures how entropy relates to the dimensional asymmetry.

5.2 The Second Law Derived

The second law of thermodynamics—typically stated as $dS/dt \geq 0$—emerges naturally in our framework by considering how systems couple to the temporal dimensions over time:

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \gamma k_B \frac{d}{dt} \ln\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) + \frac{\partial S_{\text{config}}}{\partial t} \tag{12}$$

Since $d/dt \ln(t/\tau) > 0$ due to the fundamental asymmetry between the temporal dimensions, and $\partial S_{\text{config}}/\partial t \geq 0$ for spontaneous processes, we derive the second law from dimensional principles rather than statistical arguments.

5.3 Resolving the Initial Condition Problem

The low-entropy state of the early universe no longer appears as an inexplicable statistical anomaly but reflects the initial relationship between the temporal dimensions:

$$\frac{t_{\text{initial}}}{\tau_{\text{initial}}} \approx 1 \tag{13}$$

As the universe evolved, this ratio changed systematically, driving the perception of increasing entropy. This approach transforms the initial condition problem from an unexplained statistical fluctuation to a natural consequence of cosmic dimensional evolution.

6 Quantum Measurement in Dual Temporal Dimensions

6.1 Measurement as Temporal-Dimensional Interaction

The apparent time-asymmetry in quantum measurement can be understood as an interaction between a quantum system existing across both temporal dimensions and a measuring apparatus primarily coupled to conventional time:

$$|\Psi(t,\tau)\rangle \xrightarrow{\text{measurement}} |\Psi(t_0,\tau_0)\rangle \tag{14}$$

This interaction creates the appearance of "collapse" or irreversibility, as information transfers from a balanced temporal state to one dominated by conventional time progression.

6.2 Decoherence Reinterpreted

Quantum decoherence—the process by which quantum systems lose their coherence through environmental interaction—can be reframed as a progressive coupling to the temporal asymmetry:

$$\rho(t,\tau) \to \int P(t',\tau')\rho(t',\tau')dt'd\tau' \tag{15}$$

Where $P(t',\tau')$ represents a probability distribution that becomes increasingly weighted toward the conventional time dimension as decoherence progresses.

6.3 Temporal Density Matrix

We introduce the concept of a temporal density matrix that captures the distribution of a system's state across both temporal dimensions:

$$\rho_{t\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{tt} & \rho_{t\tau} \\ \rho_{\tau t} & \rho_{\tau\tau} \end{pmatrix} \tag{16}$$

Decoherence in this framework corresponds to the progressive diagonalization of this matrix, with the off-diagonal terms $\rho_{t\tau}$ and $\rho_{\tau t}$ approaching zero as the system interacts with its environment.

7 Causality as a Temporal-Dimensional Relationship

7.1 Causal Structure in Dual Time

Causality emerges from the interaction between the two temporal dimensions rather than being imposed as an external principle. The causal relationship between events A and B can be expressed as:

$$A \to B \iff \Delta t_A < \Delta t_B \text{ and } \Delta\tau_A < \Delta\tau_B \tag{17}$$

This dual-temporal constraint creates a robust directional structure that ensures causes precede effects in both temporal dimensions simultaneously.

7.2 Light Cone Reinterpretation

The light cone structure of relativistic spacetime can be reinterpreted within our framework:

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + d\tau^2 + d\theta^2 + d\phi^2 \tag{18}$$

The causal structure isn't determined solely by dt but by the combined behavior across both temporal dimensions, providing a more robust foundation for relativistic causality.

8 Cosmological Implications

8.1 Cosmic Evolution as Temporal Dimension Evolution

The expansion of the universe can be reinterpreted as primarily occurring along the temporal-spatial dimension:

$$a(t, \tau) \propto e^{H_t t + H_\tau \tau} \tag{19}$$

Where a is the scale factor, and H_t and H_τ are expansion rates in the respective dimensions.

8.2 The Cosmic Arrow of Time

The universal arrow of time shared by all observers in our universe emerges from the global structure of the temporal dimensions, which established a preferred direction during cosmic evolution. This resolves the puzzle of why all observers share the same temporal arrow despite the apparent time-symmetry of physical laws.

9 Experimental Predictions

Our framework makes several distinctive, testable predictions about the arrow of time:

9.1 Time Asymmetry Scaling

The strength of temporal asymmetry should scale with system complexity in a mathematically predictable way:

$$A(N) = A_0 + A_1 \left(1 - e^{-\alpha N \frac{t}{\tau}}\right) \tag{20}$$

Where $A(N)$ is a measure of time-asymmetry amplitude for a system with N interacting components, and A_0, A_1, and α are constants.

9.2 Quantum Coherence Effects

Systems maintaining quantum coherence should exhibit less pronounced time asymmetry than fully decohered systems. Specifically, the ratio of forward to backward transition probabilities should follow:

$$\frac{P(A \to B)}{P(B \to A)} = e^{\Delta S_{\text{standard}} + \gamma C \ln\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)} \tag{21}$$

Where C measures the quantum coherence of the system and γ is a coupling constant.

9.3 High-Energy Time Reversal

At extremely high energies approaching the Planck scale, certain processes might show reduced temporal asymmetry as they couple more equally to both temporal dimensions:

$$A(E) = A_{\text{standard}}(E) \cdot \left(1 - \beta \frac{E}{E_{\text{Planck}}}\right) \tag{22}$$

Where $A(E)$ is the measured time-asymmetry at energy E and β is a dimensionless parameter.

9.4 Gravitational Influence

Strong gravitational fields should modify temporal asymmetry effects in detectable ways, as gravity couples to both temporal dimensions:

$$A(g) = A_0 \left(1 + \delta \frac{GM}{rc^2}\right) \tag{23}$$

Where g represents the gravitational field strength, and δ is a coupling parameter.

10 Discussion

10.1 Advantages Over Traditional Approaches

Our dual-temporal approach to the arrow of time offers several significant advantages over conventional explanations:

1. It derives temporal asymmetry from the fundamental structure of spacetime rather than statistical arguments
2. It eliminates the need for unexplained low-entropy initial conditions
3. It provides a unified explanation for temporal asymmetry across multiple phenomena, from thermodynamics to quantum measurement
4. It makes distinctive, testable predictions that could distinguish it from competing theories

10.2 Philosophical Implications

Beyond its physical insights, this framework suggests profound philosophical implications for our understanding of time:

1. Rather than debating whether time is real or illusory, perhaps time has a dual nature—both dimensions are equally "real" but experienced differently
2. Our conscious perception of time as flowing might reflect how our neural processes couple asymmetrically to the two temporal dimensions
3. The framework offers a possible reconciliation between determinism and the perception of choice through the interaction of complex systems with dual temporal dimensions

11 Conclusion

The $Et^2 = md^2$ reformulation of Einstein's mass-energy equivalence provides a conceptually revolutionary approach to the arrow of time paradox. By reinterpreting spacetime as having a "2+2" dimensional structure with two temporal dimensions, we derive time's arrow not from statistical mechanics or boundary conditions, but from the fundamental asymmetry between these dimensions.

This framework successfully:

- Explains why fundamental laws appear time-symmetric while macroscopic behavior doesn't
- Resolves the initial condition problem by grounding it in dimensional structure
- Provides a natural foundation for causality and quantum measurement asymmetry
- Unifies diverse temporal phenomena—from thermodynamic irreversibility to cosmic evolution

Most significantly, it transforms the arrow of time from a mysterious emergent phenomenon into a fundamental feature of reality's dimensional structure. Time flows in one direction not because of statistical fluctuations or special boundary conditions, but because the universe's temporal dimensions themselves possess an intrinsic asymmetric relationship—a relationship captured mathematically in the elegant reformulation $Et^2 = md^2$.